Family Name	Broadbent
Given Name	Matthew
Company / Organisation	Save Royton's Greenbelt Community Group
Person ID	1287136
Title	Stakeholder Submission
Туре	Web
Include files	PFE1287136_Response_Redacted.pdf
	PFE1287136_Floodrisk_Redacted.pdf
Family Name	Broadbent
Given Name	Matthew
Company / Organisation	Save Royton's Greenbelt Community Group
Person ID	1287136
Title	Other Comments
Туре	Web
Include files	PFE1287136_Response_Redacted.pdf PFE1287136_Floodrisk_Redacted.pdf
Redacted reasons - Please give us details of why you consider the	Statement of Community Involvement In Save Royton Greenbelt"s submission, "Beyond the Fragile Geometry of
consultation point not	opace, please relef to the following offalleriges to Southurless.
to be legally compliant,	Exec Summary
is unsound or fails to comply with the duty to	Ground Seven
co-operate. Please be	Ground Eight
as precise as possible.	
Redacted modification - Please set out the	Statement of Community Involvement
modification(s) you consider necessary to	In Save Royton Greenbelt's submission, "Beyond the Fragile Geometry of Space", please refer to the following Challenges to Soundness:
make this section of the	Exec Summary
plan legally compliant	Ground Seven
and sound, in respect of any legal compliance or soundness matters you have identified above.	Ground Eight
Family Name	Broadbent
Given Name	Matthew
Company / Organisation	Save Royton's Greenbelt Community Group
Person ID	1287136
Title	JP-Strat 7 North East Growth Corridor
Туре	Web
Include files	PFE1287136_Response_Redacted.pdf PFE1287136_Floodrisk_Redacted.pdf
Soundness - Positively prepared?	Unsound
Soundness - Justified?	NA

Soundness - Consistent with national policy?	NA
Soundness - Effective?	NA
Compliance - Legally compliant?	NA
Compliance - In accordance with the Duty to Cooperate?	NA
Redacted reasons - Please give us details of why you consider the consultation point not to be legally compliant, is unsound or fails to comply with the duty to co-operate. Please be as precise as possible.	Occupat Field
Redacted modification - Please set out the modification(s) you consider necessary to make this section of the plan legally compliant and sound, in respect of any legal compliance or soundness matters you have identified above.	In Save Royton Greenbelt's submission, "Beyond the Fragile Geometry of Space", please refer to the following Challenges to Soundness:  Ground Seven  Ground Eight
Family Name	Broadbent
Given Name	Matthew
Company / Organisation	Save Royton's Greenbelt Community Group
Person ID	1287136
Title	JP-S 1 Sustainable Development
Title Type	JP-S 1 Sustainable Development Web
	·
Туре	Web PFE1287136_Response_Redacted.pdf
Type Include files Soundness - Positively	Web  PFE1287136_Response_Redacted.pdf  PFE1287136_Floodrisk_Redacted.pdf  NA
Type Include files Soundness - Positively prepared?	Web  PFE1287136_Response_Redacted.pdf  PFE1287136_Floodrisk_Redacted.pdf  NA  Unsound
Type Include files Soundness - Positively prepared? Soundness - Justified? Soundness - Consistent	Web  PFE1287136_Response_Redacted.pdf  PFE1287136_Floodrisk_Redacted.pdf  NA  Unsound  NA
Type Include files Soundness - Positively prepared? Soundness - Justified? Soundness - Consistent with national policy?	Web  PFE1287136_Response_Redacted.pdf  PFE1287136_Floodrisk_Redacted.pdf  NA  Unsound  NA
Type Include files Soundness - Positively prepared? Soundness - Justified? Soundness - Consistent with national policy? Soundness - Effective? Compliance - Legally	Web  PFE1287136_Response_Redacted.pdf  PFE1287136_Floodrisk_Redacted.pdf  NA  Unsound  NA  Unsound

I	
to be legally compliant,	
is unsound or fails to comply with the duty to	Ground Nine
co-operate. Please be	
as precise as possible.	
Redacted modification	In Save Royton Greenbelt's submission, "Beyond the Fragile Geometry of
- Please set out the	Space", please refer to the following Challenges to Soundness:
modification(s) you consider necessary to	Ground Two
make this section of the	Ground Four
plan legally compliant	Ground Nine
and sound, in respect of any legal compliance	
or soundness matters	
you have identified	
above.	
Family Name	Broadbent
Given Name	Matthew
	Save Royton's Greenbelt Community Group
Person ID	1287136
Title	JP-J 1 Supporting Long Term Economic Growth
Туре	Web
Include files	PFE1287136_Response_Redacted.pdf PFE1287136_Floodrisk_Redacted.pdf
Soundness - Positively prepared?	Unsound
Soundness - Justified?	NA
Soundness - Consistent with national policy?	NA
Soundness - Effective?	NA
Compliance - Legally compliant?	NA
Compliance - In	NA
accordance with the	
Duty to Cooperate?	
Redacted reasons - Please give us details	In Save Royton Greenbelt"s submission, "Beyond the Fragile Geometry of Space", please refer to the following Challenges to Soundness:
of why you consider the consultation point not	Exec Summary
to be legally compliant,	Ground Seven
is unsound or fails to comply with the duty to	
co-operate. Please be	
as precise as possible.	
Redacted modification	In Save Royton Greenbelt's submission, "Beyond the Fragile Geometry of
- Please set out the modification(s) you	Space", please refer to the following Challenges to Soundness:
consider necessary to	Exec Summary
make this section of the	Ground Seven
plan legally compliant and sound, in respect	
of any legal compliance	
, ,	

or soundness matters	
you have identified above.	
Family Name	Broadbent
Given Name	Matthew
Company / Organisation	Save Royton's Greenbelt Community Group
Person ID	1287136
Title	JP-J 2 Employment Sites and Premises
Туре	Web
Include files	PFE1287136_Response_Redacted.pdf PFE1287136_Floodrisk_Redacted.pdf
Soundness - Positively prepared?	Unsound
Soundness - Justified?	NA
Soundness - Consistent with national policy?	NA
Soundness - Effective?	NA
Compliance - Legally compliant?	NA
Compliance - In accordance with the Duty to Cooperate?	NA
Redacted reasons - Please give us details of why you consider the consultation point not to be legally compliant, is unsound or fails to comply with the duty to co-operate. Please be as precise as possible.	In Save Royton Greenbelt"s submission, "Beyond the Fragile Geometry of Space", please refer to the following Challenges to Soundness:  Exec Summary  Ground Eight
Redacted modification - Please set out the modification(s) you consider necessary to make this section of the plan legally compliant and sound, in respect of any legal compliance or soundness matters you have identified above.	In Save Royton Greenbelt's submission, "Beyond the Fragile Geometry of Space", please refer to the following Challenges to Soundness:  Exec Summary  Ground Eight
Family Name	Broadbent
Given Name	Matthew
Company / Organisation	Save Royton's Greenbelt Community Group
Person ID	1287136
Title	JP-J 4 Industry and Warehousing Development
Туре	Web
Include files	PFE1287136_Response_Redacted.pdf

	PFE1287136_Floodrisk_Redacted.pdf
Soundness - Positively prepared?	NA
Soundness - Justified?	NA
Soundness - Consistent with national policy?	Unsound
Soundness - Effective?	NA
Compliance - Legally compliant?	NA
Compliance - In accordance with the Duty to Cooperate?	NA
Redacted reasons - Please give us details of why you consider the consultation point not to be legally compliant, is unsound or fails to comply with the duty to co-operate. Please be as precise as possible.  Redacted modification - Please set out the modification(s) you consider necessary to make this section of the plan legally compliant and sound, in respect of any legal compliance or soundness matters you have identified above.	In Save Royton Greenbelt"s submission, "Beyond the Fragile Geometry of Space", please refer to the following Challenges to Soundness:  Ground Six  Policy JP-J4: An analysis of PfE employment land supply buffers  In Save Royton Greenbelt's submission, "Beyond the Fragile Geometry of Space", please refer to the following Challenges to Soundness:  Ground Six  Policy JP-J4: An analysis of PfE employment land supply buffers
Family Name	Broadbent
Given Name	Matthew
Company / Organisation	Save Royton's Greenbelt Community Group
Person ID	1287136
Title	JP-H 1 Scale Distribution and Phasing of New Housing Development
Туре	Web
Include files	PFE1287136_Response_Redacted.pdf PFE1287136_Floodrisk_Redacted.pdf
Soundness - Positively prepared?	NA
Soundness - Justified?	NA
Soundness - Consistent with national policy?	NA
Soundness - Effective?	NA
Compliance - Legally compliant?	No

Compliance - In accordance with the	NA
Duty to Cooperate?	
Family Name	Broadbent
Given Name	Matthew
Company / Organisation	Save Royton's Greenbelt Community Group
Person ID	1287136
Title	JP-H 4 Density of New Housing
Туре	Web
Include files	PFE1287136_Response_Redacted.pdf PFE1287136_Floodrisk_Redacted.pdf
Soundness - Positively prepared?	Sound
Soundness - Justified?	Sound
Soundness - Consistent with national policy?	Unsound
Soundness - Effective?	Unsound
Compliance - Legally compliant?	Yes
Compliance - In accordance with the Duty to Cooperate?	Yes
Redacted reasons - Please give us details of why you consider the consultation point not to be legally compliant, is unsound or fails to comply with the duty to co-operate. Please be as precise as possible.	In Save Royton Greenbelt"s submission, "Beyond the Fragile Geometry of Space", please refer to the following Challenges to Soundness:  Ground Three  Policy JP-H4: Could Greater Manchester be more dense
Redacted modification - Please set out the modification(s) you consider necessary to make this section of the plan legally compliant and sound, in respect of any legal compliance or soundness matters you have identified above.	In Save Royton Greenbelt's submission, "Beyond the Fragile Geometry of Space", please refer to the following Challenges to Soundness: Ground Three Policy JP-H4: Could Greater Manchester be more dense
Family Name	Broadbent
Given Name	Matthew
Company / Organisation	Save Royton's Greenbelt Community Group
Person ID	1287136
Title	JP-G 10 Green Belt
Туре	Web
Include files	PFE1287136_Response_Redacted.pdf

	PFE1287136_Floodrisk_Redacted.pdf
Soundness - Positively prepared?	NA
Soundness - Justified?	NA
Soundness - Consistent with national policy?	Unsound
Soundness - Effective?	NA
Compliance - Legally compliant?	NA
Compliance - In accordance with the Duty to Cooperate?	NA
Redacted reasons - Please give us details of why you consider the consultation point not to be legally compliant, is unsound or fails to comply with the duty to co-operate. Please be as precise as possible.	In Save Royton Greenbelt"s submission, "Beyond the Fragile Geometry of Space", please refer to the following Challenges to Soundness:  Exec Summary  Ground One  Ground Two  Ground Four  Ground Six
Redacted modification - Please set out the modification(s) you consider necessary to make this section of the plan legally compliant and sound, in respect of any legal compliance or soundness matters you have identified above.	In Save Royton Greenbelt's submission, "Beyond the Fragile Geometry of Space", please refer to the following Challenges to Soundness:  Exec Summary  Ground One  Ground Two  Ground Four  Ground Six
Family Name	Broadbent
Given Name	Matthew
Company / Organisation	Save Royton's Greenbelt Community Group
Person ID	1287136
Title	JPA 1.1 Heywood / Pilsworth (Northern Gateway)
Туре	Web
Include files	PFE1287136_Response_Redacted.pdf PFE1287136_Floodrisk_Redacted.pdf
Soundness - Positively prepared?	Unsound
Soundness - Justified?	NA
Soundness - Consistent with national policy?	NA
Soundness - Effective?	NA
Compliance - Legally compliant?	NA

	Tidos for Everyone Representation 2021
Compliance - In accordance with the Duty to Cooperate?	NA
Redacted reasons - Please give us details of why you consider the consultation point not to be legally compliant, is unsound or fails to comply with the duty to co-operate. Please be as precise as possible.	In Save Royton Greenbelt"s submission, "Beyond the Fragile Geometry of Space", please refer to the following Challenges to Soundness:  Ground Seven  Ground Eight
Redacted modification - Please set out the modification(s) you consider necessary to make this section of the plan legally compliant and sound, in respect of any legal compliance or soundness matters you have identified above.	In Save Royton Greenbelt's submission, "Beyond the Fragile Geometry of Space", please refer to the following Challenges to Soundness:  Ground Seven  Ground Eight
Family Name	Broadbent
Given Name	Matthew
Company / Organisation	Save Royton's Greenbelt Community Group
Person ID	1287136
Title	JPA 1.2: Simister and Bowlee (Northern Gateway)
Туре	Web
Include files	PFE1287136_Response_Redacted.pdf PFE1287136_Floodrisk_Redacted.pdf
Soundness - Positively prepared?	Unsound
Soundness - Justified?	NA
Soundness - Consistent with national policy?	NA
Soundness - Effective?	NA
Compliance - Legally compliant?	NA
Compliance - In accordance with the Duty to Cooperate?	NA
Redacted reasons - Please give us details of why you consider the consultation point not to be legally compliant, is unsound or fails to comply with the duty to co-operate. Please be	In Save Royton Greenbelt"s submission, "Beyond the Fragile Geometry of Space", please refer to the following Challenges to Soundness:  Ground Seven  Ground Eight

	Places for Everyone Representation 2021
Redacted modification - Please set out the modification(s) you consider necessary to make this section of the plan legally compliant and sound, in respect of any legal compliance or soundness matters you have identified above.	In Save Royton Greenbelt's submission, "Beyond the Fragile Geometry of Space", please refer to the following Challenges to Soundness:  Ground Seven  Ground Eight
Family Name	Broadbent
Given Name	Matthew
Company / Organisation	Save Royton's Greenbelt Community Group
Person ID	1287136
Title	JPA 2: Stakehill
Туре	Web
Include files	PFE1287136_Response_Redacted.pdf PFE1287136_Floodrisk_Redacted.pdf
Soundness - Positively prepared?	Unsound
Soundness - Justified?	NA
Soundness - Consistent with national policy?	NA
Soundness - Effective?	NA
Compliance - Legally compliant?	NA
Compliance - In accordance with the Duty to Cooperate?	NA
Redacted reasons - Please give us details of why you consider the consultation point not to be legally compliant, is unsound or fails to comply with the duty to co-operate. Please be as precise as possible.	In Save Royton Greenbelt"s submission, "Beyond the Fragile Geometry of Space", please refer to the following Challenges to Soundness:  Ground Seven  Ground Eight
Redacted modification - Please set out the modification(s) you consider necessary to make this section of the plan legally compliant and sound, in respect of any legal compliance or soundness matters you have identified above.	In Save Royton Greenbelt's submission, "Beyond the Fragile Geometry of Space", please refer to the following Challenges to Soundness:  Ground Seven  Ground Eight
Family Name	Broadbent
Given Name	Matthew

	Places for Everyone Representation 2021
Company / Organisation	· · ·
Person ID	1287136
Title	JPA 9: Walshaw
Туре	Web
Include files	PFE1287136_Response_Redacted.pdf PFE1287136_Floodrisk_Redacted.pdf
Soundness - Positively prepared?	NA
Soundness - Justified?	NA
Soundness - Consistent with national policy?	Unsound
Soundness - Effective?	NA
Compliance - Legally compliant?	NA
Compliance - In accordance with the Duty to Cooperate?	NA
Redacted reasons - Please give us details of why you consider the consultation point not to be legally compliant, is unsound or fails to comply with the duty to co-operate. Please be as precise as possible.	In Save Royton Greenbelt"s submission, "Beyond the Fragile Geometry of Space", please refer to the following Challenges to Soundness:  Ground Five
Redacted modification - Please set out the modification(s) you consider necessary to make this section of the plan legally compliant and sound, in respect of any legal compliance or soundness matters you have identified above.	In Save Royton Greenbelt's submission, "Beyond the Fragile Geometry of Space", please refer to the following Challenges to Soundness:  Ground Five
Family Name	Broadbent
Given Name	Matthew
Company / Organisation	Save Royton's Greenbelt Community Group
Person ID	1287136
Title	JPA 17: Land South of Coal Pit Lane (Ashton Road)
Туре	Web
Include files	PFE1287136_Response_Redacted.pdf PFE1287136_Floodrisk_Redacted.pdf
Soundness - Positively prepared?	NA
Soundness - Justified?	NA
Soundness - Consistent with national policy?	Unsound

	<u> </u>
Soundness - Effective?	NA
Compliance - Legally compliant?	NA
Compliance - In accordance with the Duty to Cooperate?	NA
Redacted reasons - Please give us details of why you consider the consultation point not to be legally compliant, is unsound or fails to comply with the duty to co-operate. Please be as precise as possible.	In Save Royton Greenbelt"s submission, "Beyond the Fragile Geometry of Space", please refer to the following Challenges to Soundness:  Ground Five
Redacted modification - Please set out the modification(s) you consider necessary to make this section of the plan legally compliant and sound, in respect of any legal compliance or soundness matters you have identified above.	In Save Royton Greenbelt's submission, "Beyond the Fragile Geometry of Space", please refer to the following Challenges to Soundness:  Ground Five
Family Name	Broadbent
Given Name	Matthew
Company / Organisation	Save Royton's Greenbelt Community Group
Person ID	1287136
Title	JPA 19: Bamford / Norden
Туре	Web
Include files	PFE1287136_Response_Redacted.pdf PFE1287136_Floodrisk_Redacted.pdf
Soundness - Positively prepared?	NA
Soundness - Justified?	NA
Soundness - Consistent with national policy?	Unsound
Soundness - Effective?	NA
Compliance - Legally compliant?	NA
Compliance - In accordance with the Duty to Cooperate?	NA
Redacted reasons - Please give us details of why you consider the consultation point not to be legally compliant, is unsound or fails to	In Save Royton Greenbelt"s submission, "Beyond the Fragile Geometry of Space", please refer to the following Challenges to Soundness:  Ground Five

comply with the duty to co-operate. Please be as precise as possible.	
Redacted modification - Please set out the modification(s) you consider necessary to make this section of the plan legally compliant and sound, in respect of any legal compliance or soundness matters you have identified above.	In Save Royton Greenbelt's submission, "Beyond the Fragile Geometry of Space", please refer to the following Challenges to Soundness:  Ground Five
Family Name	Broadbent
Given Name	Matthew
Company / Organisation	Save Royton's Greenbelt Community Group
Person ID	1287136
Title	JPA 27: Land East of Boothstown
Туре	Web
Include files	PFE1287136_Response_Redacted.pdf PFE1287136_Floodrisk_Redacted.pdf
Soundness - Positively prepared?	NA
Soundness - Justified?	NA
Soundness - Consistent with national policy?	Unsound
Soundness - Effective?	NA
Compliance - Legally compliant?	NA
Compliance - In accordance with the Duty to Cooperate?	NA
Redacted reasons - Please give us details of why you consider the consultation point not to be legally compliant, is unsound or fails to comply with the duty to co-operate. Please be as precise as possible.	In Save Royton Greenbelt"s submission, "Beyond the Fragile Geometry of Space", please refer to the following Challenges to Soundness:  Ground Five
Redacted modification - Please set out the modification(s) you consider necessary to make this section of the plan legally compliant and sound, in respect of any legal compliance or soundness matters	In Save Royton Greenbelt's submission, "Beyond the Fragile Geometry of Space", please refer to the following Challenges to Soundness:  Ground Five

you have identified	
above.	
Family Name	Broadbent
Given Name	Matthew
Company / Organisation	Save Royton's Greenbelt Community Group
Person ID	1287136
Title	JPA 32: South of Hyde
Туре	Web
Include files	PFE1287136_Response_Redacted.pdf PFE1287136_Floodrisk_Redacted.pdf
Soundness - Positively prepared?	NA
Soundness - Justified?	NA
Soundness - Consistent with national policy?	Unsound
Soundness - Effective?	NA
Compliance - Legally compliant?	NA
Compliance - In accordance with the Duty to Cooperate?	NA
Redacted reasons - Please give us details of why you consider the consultation point not to be legally compliant, is unsound or fails to comply with the duty to co-operate. Please be as precise as possible.	In Save Royton Greenbelt"s submission, "Beyond the Fragile Geometry of Space", please refer to the following Challenges to Soundness:  Ground Five
Redacted modification - Please set out the modification(s) you consider necessary to make this section of the plan legally compliant and sound, in respect of any legal compliance or soundness matters you have identified above.	In Save Royton Greenbelt's submission, "Beyond the Fragile Geometry of Space", please refer to the following Challenges to Soundness:  Ground Five
Family Name	Broadbent
Given Name	Matthew
Company / Organisation	Save Royton's Greenbelt Community Group
Person ID	1287136
Title	Supporting Evidence
Туре	Web
Include files	PFE1287136_Response_Redacted.pdf
	PFE1287136_Floodrisk_Redacted.pdf

Redacted comment on supporting documents - Please give details of why you consider any of the evidence not to be legally compliant, is unsound or fails to comply with the duty to co-operate. Please be as precise as possible.

The Hanging Chadder site was allocated in the GMSF (as GMA 17). The Strategic Flood Risk Assessment found that Hanging Chadder was in Flood Zone 1, with 2.98 percent of the site at low risk of surface water flooding, 1.38 percent at risk of medium flooding, and 0.51% at high risk, placing it under Strategic Recommendation D. Because the assessment concluded that the flood risk was 'not considered significant' the strategic recommendation was that development could be permitted subject to a site-specific FRA.

However, we do not believe that the findings of the SFRA reflected the true level of flood risk. Residents of Grasmere Road and Firbank primary school (on the Southern border of the site) have been plagued by surface water run-off from Hanging Chadder for years and a flood mitigation scheme was proposed in 2016. In December 2017, Unity Partnership (acting on behalf of Oldham Metropolitan Borough Council) successfully applied for a grant from the Environment Agency to finance a drainage study to produce a business case to 'significantly reduce surface water flooding risk to residents of Grasmere'. The Environment Agency agreed to commit □49,000 to the study, with United Utilities and OMBC each committing □6,000, for a total of □61,000. The purpose of the study was to investigate the surface water flooding issues with the aim of identifying flood mitigation measures, and implementing a drainage solution in 2019. As of February 2021 the study had not been completed.

In its grant application to the Environment Agency, Unity Partnership concluded that Grasmere would still be at risk of surface water flooding and the distress to residents caused by recent flooding events would likely increase, if the situation were not addressed. It is not only reasonable to conclude that there is a risk of surface water flooding, there is actual documented evidence of such events. Therefore the assessment that the risk of surface water flooding is not considered "significant" is demonstrably incorrect.

OMBC did not disclose this during the previous Regulation 18 GMSF consultation, thereby withholding relevant and important information from the public about the flood risk and the deliverability of the site. By not disclosing this information we believe OMBC was not open and honest in its actions, and therefore not compliant with its Statement of Community Involvement, and consequently the Regulation 18 consultation in 2019 was not legally compliant per Planning and Compulsory Purchase Act 2004 (para. 19[3]).

OMBC should be instructed to disclose any further information that they have in their possession that could potentially impact on the flood risk assessments for any of the sites they have allocated. If it is revealed that the SFRA is not indicative of the true flood risk then either i) the strategic flood risk assessment should be amended accordingly; ii) the site should be withdrawn from the plan; or iii) a site-specific flood risk assessment should be carried out prior to re-designation of the Green Belt boundary. Sites should not be removed from the Green Belt if they are not prima facie developable,

Further background is provided in our supplementary paper "Flooding information pack for Hanging Chadder".

Family Name	Broadbent
Given Name	Matthew
Company / Organisation	Save Royton's Greenbelt Community Group
Person ID	1287136
Title	Other Comments
Туре	Web
Include files	PFE1287136_Response_Redacted.pdf

	PFE1287136_Floodrisk_Redacted.pdf
Soundness - Positively prepared?	Unsound
Soundness - Justified?	Unsound
Soundness - Consistent with national policy?	Unsound
Soundness - Effective?	Unsound
Compliance - Legally compliant?	No
Compliance - In accordance with the Duty to Cooperate?	No
Family Name	Broadbent
Given Name	Matthew
Company / Organisation	Save Royton's Greenbelt Community Group
Person ID	1287136
Title	Supporting Evidence
Туре	Web
Include files	PFE1287136_Floodrisk_Redacted.pdf PFE1287136_Response_Redacted.pdf
Redacted comment on supporting documents - Please give details of why you consider any of the evidence not to be legally compliant, is unsound or fails to comply with the duty to co-operate. Please be as precise as possible.	Site Selection paper, Criterion 7: Our case for unexceptional circumstances. See page 13 of "Beyond the Fragile Geometry of Space".  Integrated Appraisal. See page 16.
Family Name	Broadbent
Given Name	Matthew
Company / Organisation	Save Royton's Greenbelt Community Group
Person ID	1287136
Title	JP-J 3 Office Development
Туре	Web
Include files	PFE1287136_Response_Redacted.pdf PFE1287136_Floodrisk_Redacted.pdf
Redacted general comment - Please add any comments not addressed above	See page 14 of "Beyond the Fragile Geometry of Space" for comments on Employment Land.
Family Name	Broadbent
Given Name	Matthew
Company / Organisation	Save Royton's Greenbelt Community Group
Person ID	1287136
Title	Other Comments

Туре	Web
Include files	PFE1287136_Floodrisk_Redacted.pdf
medude mes	PFE1287136_Response_Redacted.pdf
Soundness - Positively prepared?	NA
Soundness - Justified?	NA
Soundness - Consistent with national policy?	NA
Soundness - Effective?	NA
Compliance - Legally compliant?	No
Compliance - In accordance with the Duty to Cooperate?	NA
Family Name	Broadbent
Given Name	Matthew
Company / Organisation	Save Royton's Greenbelt Community Group
Person ID	1287136
Title	Other Comments
Туре	Web
Include files	PFE1287136_Response_Redacted.pdf
Soundness - Positively prepared?	Unsound
Soundness - Justified?	Unsound
Soundness - Consistent with national policy?	Unsound
Soundness - Effective?	Unsound
Compliance - Legally compliant?	No
Compliance - In accordance with the Duty to Cooperate?	No
Family Name	Broadbent
Given Name	Matthew
Company / Organisation	Save Royton's Greenbelt Community Group
Person ID	1287136
Title	Other Comments
Туре	Web
Include files	PFE1287136_Response_Redacted.pdf
Soundness - Positively prepared?	Unsound
Soundness - Justified?	Unsound
Soundness - Consistent with national policy?	Unsound
Soundness - Effective?	Unsound

Compliance - Legally compliant?	No
Compliance - In accordance with the Duty to Cooperate?	No
Family Name	Broadbent
Given Name	Matthew
Company / Organisation	Save Royton's Greenbelt Community Group
Person ID	1287136
Title	Other Comments
Туре	Web
Include files	PFE1287136_Response_Redacted.pdf
Soundness - Positively prepared?	Unsound
Soundness - Justified?	Unsound
Soundness - Consistent with national policy?	Unsound
Soundness - Effective?	Unsound
Compliance - Legally compliant?	No
Compliance - In accordance with the Duty to Cooperate?	No